An Iterative Learning Approach to Identify Fractional Order KiBaM Model

Yang Zhao, Yan Li, Fengyu Zhou, Zhongkai Zhou, and Yangquan Chen, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—This paper discusses the parameter and differentiation order identification of continuous fractional order KiBaM models in ARX (AutoRegressive model with eXogeneous inputs) and OE (Output Error model) forms. The least squares method is applied to the identification of nonlinear and linear parameters, in which the Grünwald-Letnikov definition and short memory principle are applied to compute the fractional order derivatives. An adaptive P-type order learning law is proposed to estimate the differentiation order iteratively and accurately. Particularly, a unique estimation result and a fast convergence speed can be arrived by using the small gain strategy, which is unidirectional and has certain advantages than some state-of-art methods. The proposed strategy can be successfully applied to the nonlinear systems with quasi-linear characteristics. The numerical simulations are shown to validate the concepts.

Index Terms—Fractional calculus, KiBaM model, system identification, iterative learning identification.

I. INTRODUCTION

DYNAMIC system identification which deals with setting up mathematical models to represent input-output relationships has attracted considerable research interest from engineering and science. For nonlinear dynamic systems identification, numerous real applications exist such as neural networks [2], fuzzy logic [3], kernels models [4], multimodels [5], and the well known block-oriented KiBaM model [6]. Although the introduction of KiBaM model dates back to the 1960's [7], with its structural simplicity and quasilinear properties, its identification is still an active area of research [8]-[9]. The model has been effective in several practical application fields, such as pH neutralization process [10], RF amplifiers technology [11], biological systems [12], physiology [13], acoustics [14] and identification of nonlinear systems [15]. To date, many algorithms were elaborated for

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication.

This work was supported by the Major Scientific Instrument Development Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61527809), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61374101,61375084), the Key Program of Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China (ZR2015QZ08) and the Young Scholars Program of Shandong University (2015WLJH44). Recommended by Associate Editor Antonio Visioli.

Citation: Y. Zhao, Y. Li, F. Y. Zhou, Z. K. Zhou, and Y. Q. Chen, "An iterative learning approach to identify fractional order KiBaM model," *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, pp. 1–10, 2017. DOI: 10.1109/JAS.2017.7510358.

Y. Zhao, Y. Li, F. Y. Zhou and Z. K. Zhou are with the School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250061, China (e-mails: zdh1136@gmail.com; liyan.sdu@gmail.com; zhoufengyu@sdu.edu.cn; zzkbbki6@126.com).

Yangquan Chen is with the School of Engineering, University of California, Merced 5200 North Lake Road, Merced, CA 95343, USA (email: ychen53@ucmerced.edu).

the identification of the KiBaM system, for instance, the overparameterization method [16], the stochastic method [17], the least squares approach [6], the blind method [15], the subspace method [18] and so forth. All the methods have their superiority and effectiveness and limitations in finding the desirable parameters. Well-established strength of fractional-order system characterization and identification looks a promising alternative to be merged into this domain.

As a generalization of traditional calculus, fractional calculus has witnessed a growing development in various fields in the past few decades [19]-[21]. It also shows that some unique characteristics of fractional order operator, for instance hereditary, have given great advantages in describing real dynamic systems more accurately. Identification of fractional order systems was initiated in the late nineties [22]-[23]. The fractional order models have been utilized for a wide spectrum of physical systems including thermal diffusion [24], viscoelastic materials [25], lithium-ion batteries [26], crowd of pedestrians [27] as well as electrical circuit [28], etc. In view of the present achievements on modeling of fractional order systems, different types of fractional order nonlinear models have been proposed. Boroomand et al. [29] applied a generalized capacitor whose voltage and current are related by the fractional-order differential equation to propose a fractional order Hopfield neural network. Extended Volterra series to fractional order models, [30] estimates the thermal system for large temperature variations. Fractional multi-models were proposed to model heat diffusion process [31] and gastrocnemius muscle structure [32].

Since 1994, the ubiquitous of fractional order capacitors has become the new norm that opens a new era of fractional calculus and its engineering applications [33]. In the 21st century, a series of fundamental researches [34] points out that ion batteries are also fractional order ones due to the anomalous diffusion in different parts inside the battery. For example, the fractional order of Warburg impedance (constant phase element) are about 0.5 for many Li-ion batteries. This fact of fractional order battery, super capacitor or ion battery, has become more and more clear in various levels covering atomic scale and external characteristics [35]. The accurate modeling of battery is a key factor to battery states estimations and simulation, thus fractional order modeling undoubtedly becomes cutting edge. It should be noted that the model structure of batteries usually is a single-input single-output system, where the linear part can be determined by impedance spectroscopy analysis. Besides, the nonlinearity of battery can be successfully described by using the KiBaM structure [36] that represents temporary and available capacities. Given

the structural information, all parameters are expected to be identified approximately or accurately by using part of inputoutput data.

In this paper, we will focus on the problem of complete parametric identification of commensurate fractional order KiBaM model which is assumed to be a quasi-linear one. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Some mathematical preliminaries are introduced in Section II. Section III presents the proposed solution in details. Section IV is devoted to testify the proposed method with simulation examples. Finally, we conclude this paper with some remarks on future research.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Fractional Calculus

Fractional calculus [37], [38] is the general expression of calculus, which plays an important role in modern science. There are several commonly used definitions for fractional derivatives, such as the Grünwald-Letnikov(GL) definition, Riemann-Liouville(RL) definition and Caputo definition.

The GL fractional derivative of continuous function f(t) is defined as

$$\begin{split} {}_{t_0}D_t^{\alpha}f(t) &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{t-a}{h} \right\rfloor} \omega_j^{(\alpha)} f(t-jh), \\ \omega_j^{(\alpha)} &= \frac{(-1)^j \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(j+1) \Gamma(\alpha-j+1)}, \end{split}$$

and the discrete GL form is:

$$_{t_0} D_t^{\alpha} f(t) \approx \Delta_h^{\alpha} f((k+1)h)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j {\alpha \choose j} f((k+1-j)h).$$
(1)

In this equation, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is the fractional order, t_0 is the initial time instant, t is the current time, $h \in \mathbb{R}$ is the sampling period or time increment. The term $\binom{\alpha}{i}$ is calculated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0, \\ \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - j + 1)}{j!} & j > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\frac{t-t_0}{h}$ represents a truncation.

Remark 1: The short memory principle is employed to obtain the approximate solutions for the differential equation of fractional order.

$$_{t_0} D_t^{\alpha} f(t) \approx_{t-L} D_t^{\alpha} f(t), \quad (t > a+L).$$
 (2)

The above equation denotes that we consider behaviour of f(t) only for the "recent past", i.e. in the interval [t - L, t], where L is the "memory length".

B. Identification Problem Statement

Two continuous-time KiBaM models are considered which are shown in Fig.1 [39]. The stimulation input u is firstly scaled by the static nonlinear function f and then passed to a linear time-invariant system described by a fractional order transfer function G(p) = B(p)/A(p). The internal signal w is not measurable and the noise v is white noise. The difference between the two KiBaM models lies in the form of the noisy part. In Fig.1(a), an Auto Regerssive External (ARX) model is used, in which the noise filter H = 1/A(p) is coupled to the linear component of the plant model. In Fig.1(b), an Output-Error (OE) model is illustrated with H = 1.



Fig. 1. Two continuous-time KiBaM model structures: (a)ARX model and (b) OE model, where $\hat{f}(u) = f(u, \hat{\theta_n})$.

The special class of linear systems considered in this paper is of commensurate order α that is represented by the transferfunction

$$G(p) = \frac{B(p)}{A(p)} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{h} b_i p^{i\alpha}}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{h} a_j p^{j\alpha}}.$$
 (3)

The given system can be approximated by rational transfer functions of n zeros and m poles, depending on the order of approximation. When model (3) is applied, the linear parameter vector is composed of a vector of h + r + 1 coefficients,

$$\theta_l = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_a \\ \theta_b \end{bmatrix} = [a_h, \cdots, a_1, b_r, \cdots, b_0]^T.$$

The values of two positive integers r and h are assumed to be known, $p^{\alpha k}$ denotes the $k\alpha$ th fractional differentiator. And the fractional orders α is allowed to be arbitrary positive constants.

The nonlinear static characteristic function f(u) is known up to a finite number of parameters β_0, \dots, β_m and is a generalized polynominal

$$f(u) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 u + \beta_2 u^2 + \dots + \beta_m u^m.$$
(4)

The nonlinear parameter vector is composed of a vector with m + 1 coefficients

 $\theta_n = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \beta_m \end{bmatrix}^T.$

The physical meaning of θ_n is the diffusions in different parts of battery, and the nonlinear part is corresponding to the "buffer of electrons" relating to various working load and electrode materials.

The identification problem is now defined as follows: given the collected input/output data

$$((u(1), y(1)), \ldots, (u(N), y(N))),$$

find a parameter vector

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_l \\ \theta_n \end{bmatrix} = [a_r, \cdots, a_1, b_h, \cdots, b_0, \beta_0, \cdots, \beta_m]^T$$

that minimizes the cost function

$$\|v\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N v^2(k) \tag{5}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\hat{A}(p)}v = y - G(p,\hat{\theta}_l)f(u,\hat{\theta}_n) = y - \frac{B(p)}{\hat{A}(p)}f(u,\hat{\theta}_n)$$
(6)

in the case of the ARX noise model and

$$v = y - G(p,\hat{\theta}_l)f(u,\hat{\theta}_n) = y - \frac{B(p)}{\hat{A}(p)}f(u,\hat{\theta}_n)$$
(7)

in the case of the OE noise model.

The models in Fig.1 have more names such as Hammerstein model, Quasi-linear model, KiBaM model, etc. To improve readability, some useful information can be found in [39].

C. Motivations of Fractional Order Modeling

A detailed modeling of all processes that may occur in batteries is a mission impossible, or too complicated to warrant the initial motivation. Until today, for engineers and electrochemists, the most widely used model of battery is based on the so called equivalent circuit model that is made up of ideal resistors, capacitors, inductances, perhaps memristors, and possibly various element networks. In such a way, a resistor can correspond to a conductive path, or even some chemical steps. Similarly, capacitors and inductances represent polarization, adsorption and electrocrystallization processes, etc. Furthermore, the I/V characteristics, state estimations, and simulations are also closely related to those equivalent circuits. It should be noted that traditional circuit elements, such as resistors and capacitors, are always considered as ideal ones. But, all real resistors are of finite size, and involve some inductance, capacitance, and time delay of response as well as resistance. For capacitors, the ideal ones are universally unexisted [33][40], and also contain side effects in certain frequency ranges. Nevertheless, the above facts have not impacted the extensive use of ideal equivalent circuits, because some residual properties are unimportant over wide frequency domains such as $(j\omega)^{\alpha}$ or $\frac{1}{1+(j\omega)^{\alpha}}$ tends to a constant with respect to $\omega \to \infty$ or $\omega \to 0$ in spite of $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, where $\alpha \neq 1$ is nonideal but widely existed in reality. Now, we cannot wait to show out the word "fractional order", but before that there are two more concerns relating, but in different ways, to the extension of real batteries. The first is directly pointed to the nonlocal property that can be easily observed in both frequency and time domains, and in both micro- or macroscales [41]-[42]. The other one is associated with the constantphase element (CPE) that is related to the inhomogeneous and anisotropic natures of materials, and represents some physical and chemical properties of different batteries [43]-[44]. Totally, nonideal, nonlocal and CPE can be finally and uniformly defined as "fractional order" ones [45]-[46].

In engineering fields of batteries, which usually are power batteries, the test, simulation and management systems inevitably involve dynamic characteristics, extreme situations, true traffic conditions, etc that are far beyond the above electrochemistry test that runs in a small region of interest. The modeling of such nonlinearities is still cutting edge. Totally, the modeling of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy is non-destructive but only suitable for static situations; the identification method requires structural information and the estimated parameters can maintain physical meanings if and only if the effective structure is applied; the KiBaM model focuses on the modeling of real-time condition, extreme situation, low SOC of battery, where some physical meanings are omitted. In this paper, allow for the ubiquitous nature of fractional order battery and a number of external characteristics of power batteries, such as the nonlinear capacity, the fractional order KiBaM (FO-KiBaM) model and its parametric identification are proposed that provide an efficient and practical strategy to many power battery relevant fields. By doing so, the advantages of equivalent circuits can be completely inherited, and some nonlinear problems can be solved as well in this scheme.

III. IDENTIFICATION ALGORITHM

The objective of this section is to identify the fractional commensurate order continuous time KiBaM model. To start the process, an initialization of the linear parameter and differentiation order are first given so that the nonlinear parameters can be estimated firstly. Then the linear parameters and the system order can be renewed with the identified nonlinear parameters, and so forth. The identification procedures are shown in Fig.2.

A. Nonlinear Parameter Identification

Assume that an initial estimation of the linear parameter vector $\hat{\theta}_l$ and differentiation order vector $\hat{\alpha}$ are available. Then the nonlinear parameters can be identified by using the following strategy.

1) ARX Model: Multiplying (6) by $\hat{A}(p)$ and substituting the resulting expression for v in (5) yields

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \arg\min_{\theta_n} \|\hat{A}(p)y - \hat{B}(p)f(u,\theta_n)\|_2.$$
(8)

From (4), it follows that $f(u, \theta_n)$ is linear in θ_n , and hence

$$(\hat{B}(p)f(u,\theta_n))(k) = \beta_0 \underbrace{(\hat{b}_r + \dots + \hat{b}_0)}_{f_0(u(k),\hat{\theta}_b)} + \beta_1 \underbrace{(\hat{b}_r p^{\alpha r} u(k) + \dots + \hat{b}_0 u(k))}_{f_1(u(k),\hat{\theta}_b)} + \dots + \beta_m \underbrace{(\hat{b}_r p^{\alpha r} u(k)^m + \dots + \hat{b}_0 u(k)^m)}_{f_m(u(k),\hat{\theta}_b)}.$$

Therefore, (8) can be rewritten as an ordinary least squares problem

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \arg\min_{\theta_n} \|Y_n(y, \hat{\theta}_a) - \Phi_n(u, \hat{\theta}_b)\theta_n\|_2, \tag{9}$$

where assuming that h > r,



Fig. 2. System parameters identification procedure.

$$Y_{n}(y,\hat{\theta}_{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_{h}p^{\alpha h}y(t_{1}) + \cdots + y(t_{1}) \\ \hat{a}_{h}p^{\alpha h}y(t_{2}) + \cdots + y(t_{2}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{a}_{h}p^{\alpha h}y(t_{N}) + \cdots + y(t_{N}) \end{bmatrix},$$

and

4

$$\Phi_n(u,\hat{\theta}_b) = \begin{bmatrix} f_0(u(t_1),\hat{\theta}_b) & \cdots & f_m(u(t_1),\hat{\theta}_b) \\ f_0(u(t_2),\hat{\theta}_b) & \cdots & f_m(u(t_2),\hat{\theta}_b) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_0(u(t_N),\hat{\theta}_b) & \cdots & f_m(u(t_N),\hat{\theta}_b) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution of (8) is

$$\hat{\theta}_n = (\Phi_n(u,\hat{\theta}_b)^T \Phi_n(u,\hat{\theta}_b))^{-1} \Phi_n(u,\hat{\theta}_b)^T Y_n(y,\hat{\theta}_a).$$
(10)

2) OE Model: Rewriting (7) as:

$$v = \hat{A}(p) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{A}(p)}y\right) - \hat{B}(p) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{A}(p)}f(u,\theta_n)\right)$$

= $\hat{A}(p)y^* - \hat{B}(p)f^*(u,\theta_n).$ (11)

where $y^* = \frac{1}{\hat{A}(p)}y$ and $f^*(u, \theta_n) = \frac{1}{\hat{A}(p)}f(u, \theta_n)$. Substituting (11) into (5) yields

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \arg\min_{\theta_n} \|\hat{A}(p)y^* - \hat{B}(p)f^*(u,\theta_n)\|_2, \quad (12)$$

$$(\hat{B}(p)f^{*}(u,\theta_{n}))(k) = \beta_{0} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\hat{A}(p)}(\hat{b}_{r} + \dots + \hat{b}_{0})}_{f_{0}^{*}(u(k),\hat{\theta}_{b})} + \beta_{1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\hat{A}(p)}(\hat{b}_{r}p^{\alpha r}u(k) + \dots + \hat{b}_{0}u(k))}_{f_{1}^{*}(u(k),\hat{\theta}_{b})} + \dots + \beta_{m} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\hat{A}(p)}(\hat{b}_{r}p^{\alpha r}u(k)^{m} + \dots + \hat{b}_{0}u(k)^{m})}_{f_{m}^{*}(u(k),\hat{\theta}_{b})}.$$

Therefore, (12) can be rewritten as the following matrix equation

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \arg\min_{\theta_n} \|Y_n^*(y, \hat{\theta}_a) - \Phi_n^*(u, \hat{\theta}_b)\theta_n\|_2,$$
(13)

where assuming that h > r,

$$Y_n^*(y,\hat{\theta}_a) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_h p^{\alpha h} y^*(t_1) + \cdots + y^*(t_1) \\ \hat{a}_h p^{\alpha h} y^*(t_2) + \cdots + y^*(t_2) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{a}_h p^{\alpha h} y^*(t_N) + \cdots + y^*(t_N) \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\Phi_n^*(u,\hat{\theta}_b) = \begin{bmatrix} f_0^*(u(t_1),\hat{\theta}_b) & \cdots & f_m^*(u(t_1),\hat{\theta}_b) \\ f_0^*(u(t_2),\hat{\theta}_b) & \cdots & f_m^*(u(t_2),\hat{\theta}_b) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_0^*(u(t_N),\hat{\theta}_b) & \cdots & f_m^*(u(t_N),\hat{\theta}_b) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution of (8) is

$$\hat{\theta}_n = (\Phi_n^*(u, \hat{\theta}_b)^T \Phi_n^*(u, \hat{\theta}_b))^{-1} \Phi_n^*(u, \hat{\theta}_b)^T Y_n^*(y, \hat{\theta}_a).$$
(14)

B. Linear Parameters Identification

Given an estimation of $\hat{\theta}_n$, the internal signal w can be estimated as: $w(k) = f(u, \hat{\theta}_n)u(k)$, which is the input of the linear system. Then the fractional order linear part can be written as follows:

$$a_h p^{\alpha h} y_k + \dots + a_1 p^{\alpha} y_k + y_k = b_r p^{\alpha r} \hat{w}_k + \dots + b_0 \hat{w}_k.$$

The above equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_k \\ Y_{k-1} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}_{Y_l} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\varphi}_k \\ \hat{\varphi}_{k-1} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}_{\Psi_l} \theta_l, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} -p^{\alpha h} y_{t_{k}} \cdots - p^{\alpha} y_{t_{k}} & p^{\alpha r} \hat{w}_{t_{k}} \cdots \hat{w}_{t_{k}} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\hat{\theta}_{l} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{h} \cdots a_{1} & b_{r} \cdots b_{0} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, Y_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t_{k}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The estimated value of $\hat{\theta}_l$ can be calculated as

$$\hat{\theta}_l = (\Psi_l^T \Psi_l)^{-1}) \Psi_l^T Y_l.$$

and

C. Differentiation Order Estimation

After the above coefficient identification method, a general and applicable iterative learning identification technique is applied to differentiation orders by using the P-type order learning law [47].

For systems (3) and (4), the linear and nonlinear coefficients are derived from the above proposed identification methods with the knowledge of α_{k-1} . The order identification is to estimate the value of α from the following P-type order learning law

$$\alpha_{k+1} \triangleq \alpha_k + \Gamma_k e_k(T), \ (\alpha_{k+1} \in [0,1]), \tag{16}$$

where \triangleq denotes

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \begin{cases} 0, & (\alpha_k + \Gamma_k e_k(T) < 0), \\ \alpha_k + \Gamma_k e_k(T), & (0 \le \alpha_k + \Gamma_k e_k(T) \le 1), \\ 1, & (\alpha_k + \Gamma_k e_k(T) > 1). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1: For system (3)(4) and order learning law (16), it can be proved that $\alpha_d - \alpha_k \to 0$ monotonically if there exists a $\rho \in [0, 1)$ satisfying either of the following conditions:

C1: any Γ_k satisfying $|1 - \Gamma_k \Lambda_k| \le \rho$, C2:

$$\begin{cases} \|\hat{\Gamma}_{k}\| \cdot [\max_{\alpha_{\xi},\alpha_{\eta}} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{k}\|] \leq 1 + \rho, \\ 1 \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_{k} e_{k-1}(T), \\ C3: \\ \left(\|\hat{\Gamma}_{k}\| \cdot [\max\|\tilde{\Lambda}_{k}\|] \leq 1, - \tilde{\Gamma}_{k} \right) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \prod_{\alpha_{\xi},\alpha_{\eta}} \prod_{\alpha_{\xi},\alpha_{\eta}} ||\Gamma_{k}|| \leq 1, \\ 1-\rho \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_{k}e_{k-1}(T), \end{cases} \Rightarrow \Gamma_{k} = \frac{\Gamma_{k}}{\operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_{0}\}}, \end{cases}$$

where $\Lambda_k, \tilde{\Lambda}_k, \hat{\Gamma}_k, \tilde{\Gamma}_k$ and the order learning gains Γ_k are defined in the following proof, f is locally Lipschitz on y_k with Lipschitz constant K, and

$$\|\tilde{\Lambda}_k\| = \int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha_{\xi}-1} E_{\alpha_{\xi},\alpha_{\xi}} [K(T-\tau)^{\alpha_{\xi}}] \left\| \frac{\partial \varepsilon_k(\tau)}{\partial \alpha} \right|_{\tilde{\alpha}_{\xi}} \left\| \mathrm{d}\tau\right\|_{\tilde{\alpha}_{\xi}}$$

Proof 1: This proof is divided into two parts.

Part I:

It can be proved that

$$\begin{aligned} y_d^{(\alpha_d)}(t) - y_k^{(\alpha_k)}(t) &= f(t, y_d, u_d) - f_k(t, y_k, u_d) \\ \Leftrightarrow \left. \frac{\partial y_d^{(\alpha)}(t)}{\alpha} \right| \quad \delta \alpha_k + e_k^{(\alpha_k)}(t) &= f(t, y_d, u_d) - f_k(t, y_k, u_d), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha_{\xi}} \delta \alpha_{k} + e_{k} \quad (t) = f(t, y_{d}, u_{d}) - f_{k}(t, y_{k}, u_{d}),$$

where $e_{k}(t) = u_{d}(t) - u_{k}(t)$ and $\delta \alpha_{k} = \alpha_{d} - \alpha_{k}$. It follow

where $e_k(t) = y_d(t) - y_k(t)$ and $\delta \alpha_k = \alpha_d - \alpha_k$, it follows from $y_{d0} = y_{k0}$ that there exists a kernel function $H(\cdot)$ and the order sensitivity function $\frac{\partial \varepsilon_k}{\alpha}$ satisfying

$$e_k(t) = \int_0^t H(t,\tau,\alpha_k,h_k(t,\tau)) \frac{\partial \varepsilon_k(\tau)}{\partial \alpha} \bigg|_{\tilde{\alpha}_{\xi}} \mathrm{d}\tau \delta \alpha_k, \quad (17)$$

where $h_k(t, \tau)$ is iteration dependent and related to the estimation of other coefficients. Thus the convergence condition can be written as

$$|1 - \Gamma_k \Lambda_k| \le \rho < 1,\tag{18}$$

where $\Lambda_k = \int_0^T H(T, \tau, \alpha_k, h_k(t, \tau)) \frac{\partial \varepsilon_k(\tau)}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\tilde{\alpha}_{\xi}} \mathrm{d}\tau.$

Part II:

It is obvious that (18) holds if either of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$1 \le \Gamma_k \Lambda_k \le 1 + \rho, \tag{19}$$

$$-\rho \le \Gamma_k \Lambda_k \le 1,\tag{20}$$

which are sufficient conditions.

1

Moreover, applying Lemma 1 of [47] to $\Gamma_k \Lambda_k$ yields

$$\Gamma_k \Lambda_k \le \|\Gamma_k\| \|\Lambda_k\|$$

On the other hand, because $\delta \alpha_k = [1 - \Gamma_k \Lambda_k] \delta \alpha_{k-1}$, • for(19), sgn{ $\delta \alpha_k$ } = -sgn{ $\delta \alpha_{k-1}$ } = $(-1)^k$ sgn{ $\delta \alpha_0$ },

• for(20), $\operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_k\} = \operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_{k-1}\} = \operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_0\}.$

Firstly, for (19), the order leaning gain Γ_k is derived from the following steps:

S1: Find $\hat{\Gamma}_k$ satisfying $\|\hat{\Gamma}_k\| \cdot \left[\max_{\alpha_{\xi}, \alpha_{\eta}} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_k\|\right] \leq 1 + \rho$ S2: Choose $\tilde{\Gamma}_k \in {\{\hat{\Gamma}_k, -\hat{\Gamma}_k\}}$ satisfying $1 \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_k e_{k-1}(T)$ S3: It follows that

$$1 \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_k e_{k-1}(T) \leq \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_k e_{k-1}(T)}{\operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_k\}} \delta\alpha_k = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_k e_{k-1}(T)}{(-1)^k \operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_0\}} \delta\alpha_k$$

S4: Let $\Gamma_k = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_k}{(-1)^k \operatorname{sgn}\delta\alpha_0}$, we have
$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_k \Lambda_k \leq \|\Gamma_k\| \|\tilde{\Lambda}_k\| \leq 1 + \rho, \\ 1 \leq \frac{\Gamma_k e_{k-1}(T)}{\delta\alpha_k} = \Gamma_k \Lambda_k. \end{cases}$$

It follows from $\delta \alpha_k = [1 - \Gamma_k \Lambda_k] \delta \alpha_{k-1}$ that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha_k = \alpha_d$. Secondly, for (20), the order learning gain Γ_k^{∞} is derived from the following steps:

S1: Find $\hat{\Gamma}_k$ satisfying $\|\hat{\Gamma}_k\| \cdot \left[\max_{\alpha_{\xi},\alpha_{\eta}} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_k\|\right] \leq 1$ S2: Choose $\tilde{\Gamma}_k \in {\{\hat{\Gamma}_k, -\hat{\Gamma}_k\}}$ satisfying $1 - \rho \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_k e_{k-1}(T)$ S3: It follows that

$$1-\rho \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_k e_{k-1}(T) \leq \frac{\Gamma_k e_{k-1}(T)}{\operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_k\}} \delta\alpha_k = \frac{\Gamma_k e_{k-1}(T)}{(-1)^k \operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_0\}\delta\alpha_k}$$

S4: Let $\Gamma_k = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_k}{\operatorname{sgn}\delta\alpha_0}$, we have
$$\left\{ \left\| \Gamma_k \Lambda_k \leq \|\Gamma_k\| \| \|\tilde{\Lambda}_k\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_k \Lambda_k \leq \|\Gamma_k\| \|\Lambda_k\| \leq 1, \\ 1-\rho \leq \frac{\Gamma_k e_{k-1}(T)}{\delta \alpha_k} = \Gamma_k \Lambda_k. \end{cases}$$

It follows from $\delta \alpha_k = [1 - \Gamma_k \Lambda_k] \delta \alpha_{k-1}$ that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha_k = \alpha_d$. Lastly, the universal way to determine sgn{ $\delta \alpha_0$ } is

$$\operatorname{sgn}\{\delta\alpha_0\} = \operatorname{sgn}\{\alpha_d - \alpha_0\} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 0\\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$

Remark 2: Comparing to the identification of integer order KiBaM model, an extra parameter "fractional order α " is introduced to the linear part of the model, and the computations of other parameters are accordingly related to the fractional order derivatives of certain variables. Thus, there exist two essential difficulties: how to find α accurately, and how to compute fractional order derivatives accurately. On one hand, the identification of α is just dependent on the structure of system, i.e. the linear part of the model is a SISO one due to the physical meanings of the system such as the distributed property or the averaging method, and the input and output can represent the current and voltage. A small gain can always guarantee the convergence of the iterative learning identification method. Besides, this method arrives at a unique value of $\hat{\alpha}$, and the initial α_0 can be chosen as 0 or 1. Besides, it will be shown in the next section that a number of stateof-art methods such as internal partition method, GA, NN, etc may fail to find the real α . On the other hand, the short memory principle guarantees the accuracy of fractional order derivatives, and reveals the importance of preconditioning before real experiments [48]. To sum up, because of the adaptiveness of α estimation, a faster convergence speed and a more accurate result can surely be expected.

IV. ILLUSTRATED EXAMPLES

Example 1: In this simulation example a FO-KiBaM model is considered where the nonlinear and linear parts (structural information) are assumed as:

$$f(u, \theta_n) = \beta u^3,$$

$$G(s) = \frac{b_1 s^{\alpha} + b_0}{a_2 s^{3\alpha} + a_1 s^{2\alpha} + a_0 s^{\alpha} + 1},$$
(21)

where the true values are

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 2, \\ &b_1 = 3, b_0 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_1 = 3, a_0 = 5, \end{aligned}$$

and the input signal is $u = 0.5 \sin(t)$.

Given the initial value of $\alpha_0 = 0.1$, and N = 6693samples of the input/output data for the identification of structure (21). The linear coefficient vector was initialized as $a_2 = 1, a_1 = 1, a_0 = 1, b_1 = 1, b_0 = 1$. The matrices of Φ_n and Y_n in the nonlinear identification process are:

$$Y_n(y,\hat{\theta}_a) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_2 p^{3\alpha} y(t_1) + \cdots + y(t_1) \\ \hat{a}_2 p^{3\alpha} y(t_{k+1}) + \cdots + y(t_{k+1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{a}_2 p^{3\alpha} y(t_N) + \cdots + y(t_N) \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\Phi_n(u,\hat{\theta}_b) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{b}_1 p^{\alpha} u^3(t_1) + \hat{b}_0 u^3(t_1) \\ \hat{b}_1 p^{\alpha} u^3(t_{k+1}) + \hat{b}_0 u^3(t_{k+1}) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{b}_1 p^{\alpha} u^3(t_N) + \hat{b}_0 u^3(t_N) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrices of $\hat{\varphi}_k$ and Y_k in the linear identification process are:

$$\hat{\varphi}_k = \begin{bmatrix} -p^{3\alpha}y_{t_k}\cdots - p^{\alpha}y_{t_k} & p^{\alpha}\hat{w}_{t_k}\cdots \hat{w}_{t_k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\hat{\theta}_l = \begin{bmatrix} a_2\cdots a_1 & b_1\cdots b_0 \end{bmatrix}^T, Y_k = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t_k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Refer to the above fractional order KiBaM system (21), two identification strategies are discussed by using different order identification methods, i.e. the interval partition method and the iterative learning order identification method. With the interval partition method, the identification procedure is basically as follows:

• Given the increment of α , such as $\Delta \alpha = 0.1$, that divides [0, 1] into $\alpha/\Delta \alpha$ parts, identify the linear and nonlinear parameters with the $\alpha/\Delta \alpha$ values of α respectively.

• Compare the $\alpha/\Delta\alpha$ identification results according to the 2-norm of their output error. Find the two smaller results which construct the renewed domain of α .

• Repeat the above procedure until the domain cannot be divided or the precision of α has arrived to the requirement.

Fig.3 illustrates the 2-norms of output errors according to different $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. As shown in Fig.3, the 2-norm of the identified system's output error is not monotonically convergent to the real value $\alpha = 0.5$, which restricts the validation of the interval partition method in fractional order identification, and this phenomenon always happens in fractional order nonlinear system identifications.



Fig. 3. The 2-norms of output errors by using the interval partition method, where the minimum point corresponds to $\alpha = 0.79$ instead of the true value 0.5.

On the other hand, by using the iterative learning order identification method, the learning laws of α_k are assumed as:

$$\alpha_{k+1} \triangleq \alpha_k + 0.01e_k(T).$$

Combined with the nonlinear and linear parameters learning laws (10) and (14), the identification process is proceeded as Fig.2 shown and the result is shown as follows:

$$f(u, \theta_n) = 1.6174u^3,$$

$$G(s) = \frac{1.7397s^{0.4988} + 2.1601}{0.9852s^{1.4964} + 1.7439s^{0.9976} + 3.2185s^{0.4988} + 1},$$

which is very precise for there is no noise introduced into the example system. Simulate the above identified system with the input data u. After 12 iterations, the iteration converges when the 2-norm of the output error arrived at 0.0388. Comparison between the identified system output and the original system output is illustrated in Fig.4.

Example 2: Consider a FO-KiBaM model described as

$$f(u, \theta_n) = \beta u^2,$$

$$G(s) = \frac{b_1 s^{\alpha} + b_0}{a_1 s^{2\alpha} + a_0 s^{\alpha} + 1},$$
(22)

with

$$\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 1,$$

 $b_1 = 3, b_0 = 2, a_1 = 3, a_0 = 1$

and the input signal is $u = 0.5 \sin(t)$.



Fig. 4. Comparisons of the outputs between the ideal system and the identified one in Examples 1.

With N = 6693 samples of the input/output data of the structure (22), parameter estimation is performed using the approaches proposed in Section III. Besides the order learning law is assumed as: $\alpha_{k+1} \triangleq \alpha_k + 0.01e_k(T)$. Fig.5 presents the results of modeling of the actual and modeled outputs of the KiBaM system (22). The estimation results are:



Fig. 5. Comparisons of the outputs between the ideal system and the identified one in Examples 2.

Example 3: A real-world application of this paper is illustrated in this example. Given the structure of fractional order KiBaM model in Fig.6, where R_s represents the nonlinear term, and a linear circuit is cascaded after it. Based on the standard of dynamic stress test (DST), the I/V data is applied to estimate those parameters, where the order of Warburg impedance $\beta = 0.5$ is assumed due to the property of Lithiumion battery ($\beta = 0.25$ for fuel cells), then it follows that $R_s = 19.1m\Omega$, $R_p = 15.6m\Omega$, $Y_0 = 1.24Mho$, $Y_1 = 370Mho$, $\alpha = 0.665$. Thus the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy is

$$G(s) = R_s + \frac{(R_p Y_0 s^{\alpha} + R_p Y_1 s^{\beta} + 1)}{(R_p Y_0 Y_1 s^{\alpha+\beta} + Y_1 s^{\beta})},$$

where the nonlinear term Rs is varying according to the error e. The measured fractional order and fractional order KiBaM outputs are compared in Fig.7, where $R_s \in [34, 37.7]$. It can

be seen that the nonlinear term plays crucial role in the above real-time traffic test.



Fig. 6. Structural information of a fractional order KiBaM model.



Fig. 7. Comparisons of the outputs between the fractional order model (FOM) and the discussed fractional order KiBaM model in Example 3.

Remark 3: It should be noted that, given an accurate α , many methods can derive accurate models for sure, such as the prony technique. This α is a key parameter in FO KiBaM model which reveals a number of physical, chemical and distributed characteristics as introduced in Section II C. Besides, the computations of other parameters are accordingly related to the fractional order derivatives of certain variables. Thus how to find α accurately, and how to compute fractional order derivatives accurately become primary tasks. In Example 1, the interval partition method failed to work because a too different α was obtained. But, the proposed method in Section III C is adaptive to those linear parameters, and can converge to the real α in terms of other parameters in less than 20 iterations, where the errors are set to be in range 0.01 - 0.05. The similar convergence accuracy and speed can be observed in various other simulations that we have done previously which are excluded in this paper such as more complicated nonlinear terms [49]-[56].

Lastly, in the above examples, the small gain learning law guarantees the convergence, but sacrifices the convergence speed. But fortunately, this learning gain can be tuned from a small enough one to a large and optimized one so that the convergence speed is improved accordingly, where the whole tuning process is unidirectional. By doing so, the accuracy and convergence speed can be improved simultaneously.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

This paper deals with the parameter and order identifications of commensurate fractional order KiBaM systems in continuous-time domain. The least square method is applied to the linear and nonlinear parameters identification. A P-type order learning law associated with the terminal value of system error is applied to identify the system order accurately. The performance of the proposed algorithms has been testified by illustrative examples. Based on results presented in this paper, it is anticipated that the proposed identification algorithms will lead to more precise construction of fractional order quasilinear systems. The other part of this work is the identification of variable order system which is another representation of nonlinearity.

References

- [1] 0.5em minus 0.4emHarlow, England: Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- [2] Liu G P. Nonlinear identification and control: a neural network approach. Berlin: Springer Science and Business Media, 2012.
- [3] Mahfouf M, Linkens D A, Xue D. A new approach to model reduction for complex physiologically based drug models. *Control Engineering Practice*, 2002, **10**(1): 67–81.
- [4] Campbell C. Kernel methods: a survey of current techniques, Neuralcomputing, 2002, 48(1): 63-84.
- [5] Sommacal L, Melchior P, Oustaloup A, Cabelguen J M, Ijspeert A J. Fractional multi-models of the frog gastrocnemius muscle. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 2008, **14**(9–10): 1415–1430.
- [6] Zhao W X. Parametric identification of Hammerstein systems with consistency results using stochastic inputs. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2010, 55(2): 474–480.
- [7] Narendra K S, Gallman P G. An iterative method for the identification of nonlinear systems using a Hammerstein model. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1966, **11**(3): 546–550.
- [8] Liu Y, Bai E W. Iterative identification of Hammerstein systems. Automatica, 2007, 43(2): 346–354.
- [9] Mao Y, Ding F. Multi-innovation stochastic gradient identification for Hammerstein controlled autoregressive autoregressive systems based on the filtering technique. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 2015, **79**(3): 1745–1755.
- [10] Zhu X F, Dale E S. Nonlinear predictive control based on Hammerstein models. *Control Theory and Applications*, 1994, **11**(5): 564–575.
- [11] Morgan D R, Ma Z X, Kim J, Zierdt M G, Pastalan J. A generalized memory polynomial model for digital predistortion of RF power amplifiers. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2006, 54(10): 3852–3860.
- [12] Hunter I W, Korenberg M J. The identification of nonlinear biological systems: Wiener and Hammerstein cascade models. *Biological cybernetics*, 1986, 55(2–3): 135–144.
- [13] Bai E W, Cai Z J, Dudley-Javorosk S, Shields R K. Identification of a modified Wiener-Hammerstein system and its application in electrically stimulated paralyzed skeletal muscle modeling. *Automatica*, 2009, 45(3): 736–743.
- [14] Costa J F, Lagrange A, Arliaud A. Acoustic echo cancellation using nonlinear cascade filters. In: Proceedings of International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing. IEEE, 2003.
- [15] Vanbeylen L, Pintelon R, Schoukens J. Blind maximum likelihood identification of Hammerstein systems. Automatica, 2008, 44(12): 3139–3146.
- [16] Boutayeb M, Aubry D, Darouach M. A robust and recursive identification method for Hammerstein model. In: Proceedings of the 1996 IFACWorld Congress. San Francisco, CA, 1996. 447–452.

- [17] Greblicki W. Continuous-time Hammerstein system identification. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 2000, 45(6), 1232–1236.
- [18] Goethals I, Pelckmans K, Suykens J A, Moor B D. Subspace identification of Hammerstein systems using least squares support vector machines. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2005, 50(10): 1509–1519.
- [19] Pritz T, Five-parameter fractional derivative model for polymeric damping materials. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2003, 265(5): 935–952.
- [20] Dumlu A, Erenturk K. Trajectory tracking control for a 3-DOF parallel manipulator using fractional order $PI^{\lambda}D^{\mu}$ control. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2014, **61**(7): 3417–3426.
- [21] Gulistan S, Abbas M, Syed A A. Fractional dual fields for a slab placed in unbounded dielectric magnetic medium. *International Journal* of Applied Electromagnetics and Mechanics, 2014, 46(1): 11–21.
- [22] Oldham K B, Spanier J. The replacement of Ficks laws by a formulation involving semi-differentiation. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry, 1970, 26(2): 331–341.
- [23] Oldham K B, Spanier J. The fractional calculus-theory and applications of differentiation and integration to arbitrary order. New-York and London: Academic Press, 1974.
- [24] Victor S, Malti R, Garnier H, Oustaloup A. Parameter and differentiation order estimation in fractional models. *Automatica*, 2013, 49(4): 926–935.
- [25] Heymans N, Bauwens J C. Fractal rheological models and fractional differential equations for viscoelastic behavior. *Rheologica Acta*, 1994, 33(3): 210–219
- [26] Sabatier J, Merveillaut M, Francisco J M, Guillemard F, Porcelatto D. Fractional models for lithium-ion batteries. In: Proceedings of the 2013 European Control Conference. 2013. 3458–3463.
- [27] Cao K C, Chen Y Q, Stuart D, Yue D. Cyberphysical modeling and control of crowd of pedestrians: a review and new framework. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 2015, 2(3): 334–344
- [28] Ichise M, Nagayanagi Y, Kojima T. An analog simulation of non-integer order transfer functions for analysis of electrode processes. *Journal* of *Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry*, 1971, 33(2): 253–265.
- [29] Boroomand A, Menhaj M B. Fractional-order Hopfield neural networks. In: Proceedings of 15th International Conference on Advances in Neuro-Information Processing. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009. 883–890.
- [30] Maachou A, Malti R, Melchior P, Battaglia J L, Oustaloup A, Hay B. Thermal system identification for large temperature variations using fractional Volterra series. In: Proceedings of the 4th IFAC Workshop on Fractional Differentiation and its Applications. 2010.
- [31] Oukacine S, Djamah T, Djennoune S, Mansouri R, Bettayeb M. Multimodel identification of a fractional nonlinear system. In: Proceedings of the 2003 Fractional Differentiation and its Applications. 2013, 6(1): 48–53.
- [32] Sommacal L, Melchior P, Oustaloup A, Cabelguen J M, Ijspeert A J. Fractional multi-models of the frog gastrocnemius muscle. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 2008, 14(9-10): 1415–1430.
- [33] Westerlund S, Ekstam L. Capacitor theory. IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, 1994, 1(5): 826–839.
- [34] Barsoukov E, Macdonald J R. Impedance spectroscopy: theory, experiment, and applications. Manhattan: John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
- [35] Seaman A, Dao T S, McPhee J. A survey of mathematics-based

equivalent-circuit and electrochemical battery models for hybrid and electric vehicle simulation. *Journal of Power Sources*, 2014, **256**: 410–423.

- [36] Kim T, Qiao A W. A hybrid battery model capable of capturing dynamic circuit characteristics and nonlinear capacity effects. *IEEE Transactions* on Energy Conversion, 2011, 26(4):1172–1180.
- [37] Sabatier J, Agrawal O P, Machado J. Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering. Berlin: Springer, 2007.
- [38] Podlubny I, Fractional differential equations. Pittsburgh: Academic Press, 1998.
- [39] Le F, Markovsky I, Freeman C T, Rogers E. Identification of electrically stimulated muscle models of stroke patients. *Control Engineering Practice*. 2010, **18**(4): 396–407.
- [40] Gabano J D, Poinot T, Kanoun H. LPV continuous fractional modeling applied to ultracapacitor impedance identification. *Control Engineering Practice*, 2015, 45: 86–97.
- [41] Gao L, Liu S, Dougal R. Dynamic lithium-ion battery model for system simulation. IEEE Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies, 2002, 25(3): 495–505.
- [42] Rong P, Pedram M. An analytical model for predicting the remaining battery capacity of lithium-ion batteries. *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, 2006, 14(5): 441–451.
- [43] Weng C C, Chen S Y, Chang J C. Predicting remaining discharge time of a Lithium-ion battery by using residual capacity and workload. In: Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Consumer Electronics (ISCE). IEEE, 2013. 179–180.
- [44] Smith K, Rahn C D, Wang C Y. Model-based electrochemical estimation and constraint management for pulse operation of lithium ion batteries. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 2010, 18(3): 654–663.
- [45] Yusof N M, Ishak N, Rahiman M H F, et al. Fractional-order model identification for electro-hydraulic actuator. In: Proceedings of the 10th Control Conference (ASCC). Asian: IEEE, 2015. 1–5.
- [46] Kumar M R, Ghosh S, Das S. Identification of fractional order circuits from frequency response data using seeker optimization algorithm. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Instrumentation and Control (ICIC). IEEE, 2015. 197–202.
- [47] Li Y, Chen Y Q, Ahn H S. On P-type fractional order iterative learning identification. In: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems. IEEE, 2013. 219–225.
- [48] Li Y, Chen Y, Ahn H S. Fractional order iterative learning control for fractional order system with unknown initialization. In: Proceedings of American Control Conference. IEEE, 2014. 5712–5717.
- [49] Sabatier J, Aoun M, Oustaloup A, Grégoire G, Ragot F, Roy P. Fractional system identification for lead acid battery state of charge estimation. *Signal processing*, 2006, 86(10): 2645–2657.
- [50] Sabatier J, Cugnet M, Laruelle S, Grugeon S, Sahut B, Oustaloup A, Tarascon J M. A fractional order model for lead-acid battery crankability estimation. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 2010, **15**(5): 1308–1317.
- [51] Efe M Ö. Battery power loss compensated fractional order sliding mode control of a quadrotor UAV. Asian Journal of Control, 2012, 14(2): 413–425.
- [52] Sabatier J, Merveillaut M, Francisco J M, Guillemard F, Porcelatto D. Fractional models for lithium-ion batteries. In: Proceedings of Control Conference (ECC). European: IEEE, 2013. 3458–3463.

- [53] Sabatier J, Merveillaut M, Francisco J M, et al. Lithium-ion batteries modeling involving fractional differentiation. *Journal of Power Sources*, 2014, **262**: 36–43.
- [54] Francisco J M, Sabatier J, Lavigne L, et al. Lithium-ion battery state of charge estimation using a fractional battery model. In: Proceedings of International Conference on Fractional Differentiation and its Applications (ICFDA). IEEE, 2014. 1–6.
- [55] Freeborn T J, Maundy B, Elwakil A S. Fractional-order models of supercapacitors, batteries and fuel cells: a survey. *Materials for Renewable* and Sustainable Energy, 2015, 4(3): 1–7.
- [56] Takamatsu T, Ohmori H. State and parameter estimation of lithium-ion battery by Kreisselmeier-type adaptive observer for fractional calculus system. In: Proceedings of the 54th Annual Conference of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers of Japan (SICE). IEEE, 2015. 86–90.



Yang Zhao is currently a Ph.D. candidate in the School of Control Science and Engineering at Shandong University, Jinan, China. She received her M.S. degree in Control Engineering from the School of Control Science and Engineering at Shandong University, Jinan, China, in 2011. Her research interests include applied fractional calculus in control, iterative learning control, system identification and robotics.



Yan Li received his Ph.D. in Applied Mathematics from Shandong University, Jinan, China, in 2008. During 2007-2010, he was invited by Dr. YangQuan Chen and Huifang Dou as a research fellow in Center for Self-Organizing Intelligent Systems (CSOIS). He is currently an associate professor and Ph.D. supervisor of the School of Control Science and Engineering at Shandong University. His recent research interests include fractional calculus, modeling of complex systems, and intelligent control.



Fengyu Zhou received his Ph.D. degree in Electrical Engineering from Tianjin University, Tianjin, China, in 2008. He is currently a Professor of the School of Control Science and Engineering at Shandong University, Jinan, China. His research interests include robotics and automation.



Zhongkai Zhou Zhongkai Zhou is currently a Ph.D. student in the School of Control Science and Engineering at Shandong University, Jinan, China. He received his B.E. degree in Automation from Qufu Normal University, Rizhao, China, in 2013. His research interests include battery management systems and more particularly on active balancing, modeling for batteries.



Yangquan Chen received his Ph. D. degree in Advanced Control and Instrumentation from Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, in 1998. Dr. Chen was on the Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Utah State University before he joined the School of Engineering, University of California, Merced in 2012 where he teaches Mechatronics for juniors and Fractional Order Me-

chanics for graduates. His research interests include mechatronics for sustainability, cognitive process control and hybrid lighting control, multi-UAV based

cooperative multi-spectral personal remote sensing and applications, applied fractional calculus in controls, signal processing and energy informatics; distributed measurement and distributed control of distributed parameter systems using mobile actuator and sensor networks.